

Angela D. Caesar, Clerk of Court
U.S. District Court, District of Columbia

JUL - 1 2019

U.S. District Court

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS (Peoria)

CRIMINAL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:12-cr-10082-JES-JEH-1

Case title: USA v. Lewis

K.W. LEWIS - was in a U.S. Prison - 7/13/2016 - 7/16/2016
 How could he have written any response -

Proves "Section = 552(a)(5) Date Filed: 06/20/2012
 "Inaccurate" central file Date Terminated: 06/27/2014

and records

(Exhibit "Memorandum")

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
07/21/2016	459	+++RESENTENCING MEMORANDUM as to Kenneth W Lewis (Burgess, David) (Entered: 07/21/2016) illegal - can't issue; was issued by the Clerk
07/19/2016		TEXT ORDER: Court has reviewed the <u>458</u> Motion to cancel hearing as to Kenneth W Lewis (1) and GRANTS same as no citation hearing was set before the Court as the Citation was issued for written return only. Entered by Magistrate Judge Jonathan E. Hawley on 7/19/16. (WG, ilcd) (Entered: 07/19/2016)
07/19/2016	458	MOTION to Cancel Hearing by USA as to Kenneth W Lewis. (Noll, Gail) (Entered: 07/19/2016)
07/15/2016	457	AFFIDAVIT Writ of Habeas Corpus by Kenneth W Lewis (VH, ilcd) (Entered: 07/15/2016) <i>You must show "Proof"</i>
07/15/2016	456	AFFIDAVIT by Kenneth W Lewis (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit 1, # <u>2</u> Exhibit 2, # <u>3</u> Exhibit 3, # <u>4</u> Exhibit 4, # <u>5</u> Exhibit 5)(VH, ilcd) (Entered: 07/15/2016)
07/15/2016	455	Response to Pre-sentence Report by Kenneth W Lewis (VH, ilcd) (Entered: 07/15/2016) <i>You must show "Proof"</i>
07/15/2016	454	MOTION for Review by Kenneth W Lewis. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> envelope)(VH, ilcd) (Entered: 07/15/2016) <i>Show "Proof"</i>
07/15/2016	453	AFFIDAVIT of Truth by Kenneth W Lewis (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit, # <u>2</u> Exhibit 2)(VH, ilcd) (Entered: 07/15/2016) <i>Show "Proof"</i>
07/14/2016	452	AFFIDAVIT of Truth by Kenneth W Lewis (VH, ilcd) (Entered: 07/14/2016)
07/13/2016	451	ORDER of USCA as to Kenneth W Lewis. IT IS ORDERED that appeal no. 16-2841 is CLOSED. The clerk of this court shall file the document as a motion to recall the mandate in appeal nos. 14-2442 and 14-2597. IT IS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Kenneth Wayne Lewis, hereby certify, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America, Title 28 U.S.C. § 1746, that the foregoing Motion, Affidavit, or Petition, including any attachments, exhibits and In Forma Pauperis, are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. Included Motion(s) is listed as follows: inclusive of 30 day due date

Notice of Default	"Proof" of	\$ 5,565.406.44
Remainder of Notice and Demand		\$ 5,542.646.44
Challenge to "Memorandum" July 21, 2016		\$ 5,523.306.44
Default no rebuttal = Proof = alleged		\$ 5,566.906.44
(a) Affidavit; produce evidence victims		

I further certify, under penalty of perjury that the aforementioned Motion(s), Affidavit(s), or Petition(s), including any attachments, exhibits and In Forma Pauperis, were mailed out via the Fort Dix mailing system, with necessary and appropriate first class postage attached, following the "mailbox rule," See

Houston v. Lack, 487 U.S. 266 (1988), addressed to the parties listed below and placed in a mailbox within Burlington County New Jersey.

David Burgess, Mary Kennedy
Probation Office
314 Federal Building
Pearce, Illinois 61602

Executed and Mailed on this 14th day of November, 2016.

Kenneth Wayne Lewis
Kenneth Wayne Lewis
Reg. No. 64623-050
FCI Fort Dix

Exhibit "HIN"

See doc. 459 pages

"2-3" / See doc. 307

pages 41-42

no Judgment And Commitment order

LEWIS, Kenneth Wayne
 Register No. 64623-050
 Remedy No. 893974-F1

PART B - RESPONSE

can provide the necessary
 and required information
 for Placement

This is in response to your Request for Administrative Remedy in which you claim the Bureau does not have a copy of the Presentence Investigation Report (PSI) for your resentencing; requiring your immediate release from custody.

→ False, Fraudulent Statement = June 27, 2014 = Judgment and Commitment
 Records reveal on June 27, 2014, you were convicted in the United States District Court for the Central District of Illinois, and sentenced to a combined term of 271 months of imprisonment, with three years of supervised release to follow. A PSI was completed by the United States Probation Office on June 17, 2014, prior to sentencing. On August 22, 2016, an amended judgment was issued by the court reducing your sentence to a term of 135 months imprisonment. → there had to be issued a revised "PSR" of doc. 294

Key Jurisdictional element →

Convictor
 Feb. 24
 2017
 see
 exhibit
 B-5
 explains
 that
 care
 manager
 S. Boyd
 said
 new
 "PSR"
 Based on your claim that a new PSI should have been issued, and in accordance with Program Statement 5800.17, Inmate Central File, Privacy Folder, and Parole Mini Files, your Case Manager forwarded your written challenge to the United States Probation Office for the Central District of Illinois, on February 24, 2017. On March 1, 2017, contact was made with Probation, and it was reported that a new PSI is not issued upon resentencing unless specifically ordered by the sentencing judge. The Honorable Shadid did not direct Probation to draft a new PSI in your case.

revised "PSR" of doc. 294 -

The Bureau of Prisons has your Amended Judgment and Commitment Order and has properly calculated your 135 month sentence with a projected release date of May 6, 2022. You are not entitled to utilize release from your sentence. Accordingly, your request is denied.

If you are dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the Northeast Regional Director, Federal Bureau of Prisons. Your appeal must be received in the Northeast Regional Office, U.S. Customs House, 2nd and Chestnut Streets, Philadelphia, PA 19106, within 20 calendar days of the date of this response.

I am not dissatisfied, because

we all know that

3/29/17

to imprison
 anyone especially
 Kenneth Lewis

there is no Date

Lewis

Revised "PSR" issued on or before Aug. 2, 2016

Therefore, we have no signatures on doc. 292-5114/2014

we have no signatures on doc. 294-6117/2014

we have only one issued "Memorandum" 7/21/2014 = do

Signatures - violating Rule 11, 46-4, 28-4 - and its
 requirement is the District Court not the Probation Department

Federal Bureau of Prisons

CM 5.26.17

Type or use ball-point pen. If attachments are needed, submit four copies. One copy each of the completed BP-229(13) and BP-230(13), including any attachments must be submitted with this appeal.

From:

LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL

REG. NO.

UNIT

INSTITUTION

Part A - REASON FOR APPEAL

Default Judgement - 11-29-2016. Thomas Kane was in default : Your Failure to Contest, Rebut; an Answer both the notices and Demand and the Notice of Default affirms that the "BOP" cannot utilize the judgement and Commitment order and therefore Rules are Inaccurate : So says the Privacy Act 5 U.S.C. 5 § 552(a) and due Process Forbids reliance on materially false or unreliable information in imposing sentence. Townsend v Burke ; Rule 32 (1) (3) (B) and Rule 32(1) (3)(C) Further Restitution due to Kenneth Wayne Lewis Under 18 U.S.C. §§ 10,1201 = \$1.7 million per day ; 18 U.S.C. § 1203 = \$1.7 million per day ; 18 U.S.C. § 1346 = \$1.7 million per day - a copy shall be filed with the Court : Your silence says it all ; Kidnapping -abduction, being a hostage ; mail fraud - Mail Tampering Serious Crimes. - See Hearing February 10, 2014 - Since 6-25-14 - present

May 30, 2017

DATE

Kenneth Wayne Lewis

SIGNATURE OF REQUESTER

Part B - RESPONSE

DATE

GENERAL COUNSEL

ORIGINAL RETURN TO INMATE

CASE NUMBER:

Part C - RECEIPT

CASE NUMBER:

Return to:

LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL

REG. NO.

UNIT

INSTITUTION

SUBJECT:

DATE

SIGNATURE OF RECIPIENT OF CENTRAL OFFICE APPEAL

(a)

Regional Administrative Remedy Appeal

Federal Bureau of Prisons

6-22-17

Type or use ball-point pen. If attachments are needed, submit four copies. One copy of the completed BP-229(13) including any attachments must be submitted with this appeal.

From: Lewis, Kenneth W 64623-050 B FED, Bent Dix, N.J.
 LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL REG. NO. UNIT INSTITUTION
Satellite Camp

Part A - REASON FOR APPEAL - Default Judgment - Mr. M.D. Carvalhal - your refusal and silence regarding BP-16 - May 18, 2017 - You are in default plus - the Judgment and commitment order that warden Ortiz better dated 3/22/2017 - said that the "BOP" was in possession of my Amended Judgment and Commitment Order, but due to Intrinsic Fraud - Judge James G Shadid on 8/22/2016 - altered Patricia Scaggs alleged loss of \$4,900.00 to \$6,825.00 - a false order rule 60 (b) #3 and #4; further that makes the order - null and void - further that makes you and the "BOP" indirectly covering up a Kidnapping / obstruction; that makes you and the "BOP" indirectly activating the damages that you failed to repeat as being held as a hostage; activating the damages that you failed to repeat as being held as a hostage; activating the damages that you failed to repeat as being held as a hostage; less than 30 days ago we invoke those damages.

June 26, 2017

DATE

Kenneth Wayne Lewis

SIGNATURE OF REQUESTER

Part B - RESPONSE

DATE

REGIONAL DIRECTOR

If dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the General Counsel. Your appeal must be received in the General Counsel's Office within 30 calendar days of the date of this response.

ORIGINAL: RETURN TO INMATE

CASE NUMBER: _____

Part C - RECEIPT

CASE NUMBER: _____

Return to: _____ LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL REG. NO. UNIT INSTITUTION

SUBJECT: _____

DATE

SIGNATURE, RECIPIENT OF REGIONAL APPEAL

RECEIVED
Mail RoomAngela D. Caesar, Clerk of Court
U.S. District Court, District of Columbia

United States Department of Justice
 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
 Washington, D.C. 20530

Copy to: Caroline A. Sadowski Case No: 1:12-cv-00082

Affidavit of Pleading - Rebuttal required

(1) Rod Rosenstein - Deputy U.S. Attorney - Inaccurate files
 computation of Kenneth Bone Lewis's Sentence = "§2241"

(a) You, Rob Rosenstein under Rule 8(a)(2), Rule 12, Rule 12 ^{#2, #3}
 Title 28 U.S.C. §502- are a federal official and a response has
 to be made within 21 days - without exception - cc-Caroline A Sadowski
 Further

(b) Under United States v. Hes 458 F. 2d 520, 536 (7th Cir. 1981)
 "No more than this Affidavit of Pleading is necessary to make
 the Prima Facie case. Indeed - it shall be said that your Non
 Rebuttal of this Affidavit of Pleading, which stands as Truth in
 Commerce - the statements herein shall emerge as the Truth of the
 Matter; legal presumption; Further

(i) citing - Prima Facie, case - a legally required rebuttal
 Presumption

(ii) - Presumption - Jefferson Sessions is in default of
 a "Notice and demand" and under Rule 55(e) has failed to respond; therefore
 Rod Rosenstein - U.S. Attorney (Deputy) is now submitted this document
 and other documents that must be rebutted and responded to under
 "due process of law" 5th amendment and the right to be heard" ^{1st amendment}
 challenging his illegal imprisonment maintained by Inaccurate files and
 records in violation of the Privacy Act - Section § 552a(e)(5); Further

(c) ID numbers: #3201057

#3251348 need to be released

JUL - 1 2019

Being open and honest, this originally was a Loretta Lynch problem - former - U.S. Attorney General but as of 2016 - Jefferson Sessions replaced her - and this is not just his problem but yours too. Further

In 2018, we are dealing with a Tragic and Appalling Story of how an American Citizen Kenneth Eugene Lewis was Kidnapped abducted by Federal agents James Peacock and Shari Shewe and how 38 Federal Judges under cloak of civil conspiracy to conceal this very fact and other = Rule 9 (b) = Fraud - Material Misrepresentation.

On 7/17/2012 - Rule 5 - initial appearance was violated

On 7/17/2012 - the government failed to present an arrest warrant

On 7/17/2012 - Kenneth Eugene Lewis was not identified as person in warrant

On 7/17/2012 - why was Kenneth Eugene Lewis in a Jury box - handcuffed and shackled over 30 feet from the Magistrate Judge whom was reading to an empty chair - (witnesses)

On 7/17/2012 - Kenneth Eugene Lewis was not afforded an attorney for detention

On 7/17/2012 - Kenneth Eugene Lewis was not taken directly in front of a Magistrate Judge - instead interrogated at an IRS Building while handcuffed and shackled - when he had to be in front of a Judge

On 7/17/2012 - the commitment to another District court was executed in violation of Rule 5 (c)(3)(D)

(i) why was Kenneth Eugene Lewis never afforded a detention and extradition hearing in Newark, New Jersey?

(ii) why was Kenneth Eugene Lewis removed under cloak of darkness and sent to Oklahoma City, Ok transfer center without due process of law?

(iii) why on July 13, 2012 - the corporate veil had not been pierced yet. Judge German issued a warrant to seize Corporate accounts?

(iv) why is Kenneth Wayne Lewis's Administrative Records Inaccurate:
Category

- (a) not to have an amended "Pre-sentence Investigation Report"
- (b) the amended Judgment and Commitment Orders shall be void

because

- (1) on August 16, 2016 - Judge James E. Shabot issued and imposed a 135 month Sentence without an amended "Pre-Sentence Report". Therefore
 - (c) under the Federal Sentencing Guidelines this 135 month Sentence was null and void.

- (d) Depict to us when in the Judgment and Commitment Order both #461-81221 2016 and #323-61221 2014 are null and void
- (2) the basic elements of a Pre-Sentence Investigation Report -
 - (e) an offense level
 - (f) Criminal Category

(g) Applicable Guideline Range. So

- (3) how did Judge James E. Shabot impose a sentence?
- (4) he had to violate Rule 32(c)(4); Rule 32(c)(3)(B); Rule 32(c)(3)(B) - Further
- (5) why were there no signatures on doc. 2944- revised Pre-sentence Report? 6/17/2016
- (6) why were there no signatures on the 4459 "Memorandum" 7/21/2016?
- (7) why were there no resolution to either doc. 2944- 6/17/2014 or doc. 4459- 7/21/2016?
- (8) How could Judge Shabot go to Trial - violating Rule 12(e) - Open Plea?.
- (9) How could Judge Shabot after Potowmicki桑格 loss (allegedly) Acquit? why?
- (10) Why did the 7th Circuit struck Kenneth Wayne Lewis's brief - 11/3/2015?
- (11) why the 3rd Circuit, 7th Circuit, all three District Courts fail to adjudicate the Privacy Act - Section - § 552a(g)(1)(C)? the most to bring civil action? who?
- (12) we are issuing to you - Alternative Misconduct for your rebuttal - 21 days from this date? You should respond protecting due process of law. Competing Judge Paula L. Wolfson - Trenton, District Court

January 22, 2019

www

Kenneth Wayne Lewis
Kenneth Wayne Lewis